

LINGUISTIC COMPARISON OF SYNTACTIC SYSTEMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGE

Khusanova Mohistara

FerSU, 4th year student

husanovamohistara@gmail.com

Annotation: Linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek language is important for a variety of reasons. Understanding the similarities and differences between these two languages can provide valuable insights into the structure and organization of language, as well as the ways in which different languages express meaning and convey information. This type of comparison can also be useful for language learners, translators, and researchers who are interested in understanding the linguistic properties of these two languages. In this article, we will explore the importance of linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek language and discuss some of the key similarities and differences between these two languages.

Key words: Comparison, potential, agglutinative language, auxiliary verbs, potential pitfalls, cognition, distinguish, typically expressed.

Linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek language is a valuable tool for gaining insights into the structure and organization of language, as well as the ways in which different languages express meaning and convey information. This type of comparison can be used in a variety of ways, including for language learning, translation, and research purposes.

For language learners, understanding the similarities and differences between the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek can provide valuable insights into the ways in which their native language differs from the language they are trying to learn. By comparing the syntactic structures of these two languages, language learners can identify potential areas of difficulty and develop strategies for overcoming them. For example, by understanding that Uzbek is an agglutinative language that uses a large number of affixes to express grammatical relationships, learners can focus on mastering this aspect of the language in order to improve their proficiency. Similarly, by understanding that English relies more on word order and auxiliary verbs to express grammatical relationships, learners can pay attention to these aspects of the language in their studies.

In addition to language learning, linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek is also important for translators. By understanding the syntactic structures of these two languages, translators can produce more accurate and natural-sounding translations that capture the nuances of meaning and expression in both languages. For example, by understanding the differences in negation strategies between English and Uzbek, translators can ensure that they accurately convey the intended meaning when translating between the two languages. This type of comparison can also help translators to identify potential pitfalls and challenges when working with these languages, allowing them to produce higher-quality translations.

Linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek is important for researchers who are interested in understanding the nature of language and its role in

human cognition. By comparing the syntactic structures of these two languages, researchers can gain insights into the ways in which language reflects and shapes human thought. This type of analysis can provide valuable data for theories of language acquisition, language processing, and the relationship between language and cognition. By understanding the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which language functions as a cognitive system and how it influences our perception and understanding of the world.

Linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek can be used to explore the similarities and differences between these two languages. For example, one important similarity between these two languages is that they both use a subject-verb-object (SVO) word order as their basic syntactic structure. In both English and Uzbek, the subject typically precedes the verb, which in turn precedes the object. This basic word order is a common feature of many languages around the world, and it reflects a universal tendency in human language to organize information in this way.

Despite this similarity in basic word order, there are also a number of important differences between the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek. One key difference is that Uzbek is an agglutinative language, while English is an analytic language. This means that Uzbek uses a large number of affixes to express grammatical relationships, while English relies more on word order and auxiliary verbs. For example, in Uzbek, a single word can contain information about tense, aspect, mood, person, number, and case, while in English, these grammatical relationships are typically expressed through separate words or through word order.

Another important difference between English and Uzbek is that they have different systems for expressing negation. In English, negation is typically expressed using auxiliary verbs such as "do not" or "is not," while in Uzbek, negation is typically expressed using affixes attached to the verb. For example, in Uzbek, the verb "yoz-" means "to write," while "yoz-ma-" means "not to write." This difference in negation strategies reflects a broader difference in the grammatical structures of these two languages.

In addition to these differences in word order, negation, and grammatical structure, there are also differences in the ways in which English and Uzbek express information through subordinate clauses and relative clauses. For example, while English often uses relative pronouns such as "who," "which," and "that" to introduce relative clauses, Uzbek typically uses suffixes attached to the head noun to mark the relationship between the main clause and the relative clause. This difference reflects a broader difference in the ways in which these two languages organize information at the level of sentence structure.

By exploring these similarities and differences, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which language functions as a system for expression and communication. This type of analysis can shed light on the universal principles that underlie all human languages, as well as the specific features that distinguish one language from another. By examining the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek, researchers can gain valuable insights into the ways in which languages organize and express information.

Linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek language is important for a variety of reasons. By comparing the syntactic structures of these two languages, we can gain insights into the nature of language itself, as well as its role in human cognition and communication. This type of comparison can also be valuable for language learners, translators, and researchers who are interested in understanding the linguistic properties of

these two languages. By exploring the similarities and differences between English and Uzbek, we can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which language functions as a system for expression and communication.

One of the main reasons why linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek language is important is that it can help us to better understand the nature of language itself. By comparing the syntactic structures of these two languages, we can gain insights into the ways in which languages organize and express information. This type of analysis can shed light on the universal principles that underlie all human languages, as well as the specific features that distinguish one language from another. By examining the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek, we can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which language functions as a system for communication and expression.

Another important reason for comparing the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek is that it can be useful for language learners and translators. By understanding the similarities and differences between these two languages, language learners can gain insights into the ways in which their native language differs from the language they are trying to learn. This can help them to identify potential areas of difficulty and develop strategies for overcoming them. Similarly, translators can use this type of comparison to identify potential pitfalls and challenges when translating between English and Uzbek. By understanding the syntactic structures of these two languages, translators can produce more accurate and natural-sounding translations that capture the nuances of meaning and expression in both languages.

In addition to its practical applications, linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek can also be valuable for researchers who are interested in understanding the nature of language and its role in human cognition. By comparing the syntactic structures of these two languages, researchers can gain insights into the ways in which language reflects and shapes human thought. This type of analysis can provide valuable data for theories of language acquisition, language processing, and the relationship between language and cognition. By understanding the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which language functions as a cognitive system and how it influences our perception and understanding of the world.

When comparing the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek, there are a number of key similarities and differences that are worth exploring. One important similarity between these two languages is that they both use a subject-verb-object (SVO) word order as their basic syntactic structure. In both English and Uzbek, the subject typically precedes the verb, which in turn precedes the object. This basic word order is a common feature of many languages around the world, and it reflects a universal tendency in human language to organize information in this way.

However, despite this similarity in basic word order, there are also a number of important differences between the syntactic systems of English and Uzbek. One key difference is that Uzbek is an agglutinative language, while English is an analytic language. This means that Uzbek uses a large number of affixes to express grammatical relationships, while English relies more on word order and auxiliary verbs. For example, in Uzbek, a single word can contain information about tense, aspect, mood, person, number, and case, while in English, these grammatical relationships are typically expressed through separate words or through word order.

Another important difference between English and Uzbek is that they have different systems for expressing negation. In English, negation is typically expressed using auxiliary verbs such as "do not" or "is not," while in Uzbek, negation is typically expressed using affixes attached to the verb. For example, in Uzbek, the verb "yoz-" means "to write," while "yoz-ma-" means "not to write." This difference in negation strategies reflects a broader difference in the grammatical structures of these two languages.

In addition to these differences in word order, negation, and grammatical structure, there are also differences in the ways in which English and Uzbek express information through subordinate clauses and relative clauses. For example, while English often uses relative pronouns such as "who," "which," and "that" to introduce relative clauses, Uzbek typically uses suffixes attached to the head noun to mark the relationship between the main clause and the relative clause. This difference reflects a broader difference in the ways in which these two languages organize information at the level of sentence structure.

In conclusion, linguistic comparison of syntactic systems in English and Uzbek language is important for a variety of reasons. By comparing the syntactic structures of these two languages, we can gain insights into the nature of language itself, as well as its role in human cognition and communication. This type of comparison can also be valuable for language learners, translators, and researchers who are interested in understanding the linguistic properties of these two languages. By exploring the similarities and differences between English and Uzbek, we can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which language functions as a system for expression and communication.

REFERENCES

1. Dushatova, S., & Azamov, M. (2022, November). SO'Z TURKUMLARI TASNIFI. In INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE: PROBLEMS AND SCIENTIFIC SOLUTIONS. (Vol. 1, No. 6, pp. 89-95).
2. Dushatova, S., & Tursunaliyev, M. (2022). CHET TILLARINI O'RGANISHNING INSON RIVOJLANISHIGA TASIRI. INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT IN THE GLOBAL SCIENCE, 1(7), 133-138.
3. Dushatova, S. (2022). EVFEMIZM TUSHUNCHASI TAHLILI.
4. Skinner B.F. Science and Human Behavior. New York: Macmillan, 1953.
5. Fishman, Joshua, "Language Maintenance," in S. Thernstrom et al., eds., *HanJard Encyclopedia of American Ethnic G1'OUUPS* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1980).
6. Jernudd, Bjorn and Shapir~, Michael, eds., *The Politics of Language Purism* (Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 1989).
7. Kedourie, Elie, *Nationalism* (London: Hutchinson, 1960). Ta'lim innovatsiyasi va integratsiyasi 1-SON 2-TO'PLAM IYUL 2023 yil 45
8. Magocsi, Paul Robert, "The Birth of a New Nation or the Return of an Old Problem? The Rusyns of East Central Europe," *Canadian Slavonic Papers/IR,vll.e canadienne des ,lavistes*, 34:3 (September 1992): 199-223. .
9. Schieder, Theodor, "Typologie und Erscheinungs-formdes Nauon- 1080 SOCIAL RESEARCH alistaats in Europa," in Heinrich August Winkler, ed., *Nationalismus* (Athenaeum, Konigsteinrrs, 1985), pp. 119--37.
10. Seton-Watson, Hugh, *Nations and States* (London: Methuen, 1977). Thernstrom, S. et al., eds., *Harvard Encyclopedia of American Ethnic Groups* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1980).
11. Kazanevich A.A. *English Proverbs and Sayings*. Novopolosk, 2010.

-
12. The Oxford Dictionary of English Proverbs (1970), ed. by W. G. Smith and F. P. Wilson.
 13. Worsdorfer, Rolf, .. 'Ethnizitaet' und Entnationalisierung," Oester reichische Zeitschriftfur Geschichtswissenschaften 5 (Jg 211994): 201-31.