

Mirzakarimov Makhmudjan Ruzibayevich

University of economics and pedagogy,

Teacher of the Department of Filology

SOMATIC EXPRESSIONS IN THE PHRAZEOLOGICAL SYSTEM

Abstract: The article describes study of somatic expressions in the phraseological system of Romani-Germanic languages.

Key words: somatic, phraseologisms, human body parts, component, indicator, emotion, comparative study

According to N.A. Krasavsky, the somatic components of FBs represent the figurative meaning of "status indicator". He distinguishes five somatic components that have a symbolic meaning: 1. Head (golova) - "control center of the thinking system" (golden head). 2. Head (head) - indicator of internal characteristics. 3. The heart is an indicator of emotions. 4. The hand is a member of labor: the hand is a flower. 5. Tongue, eyes, throat - an indicator of internal characteristics: long tongue, can see, blind eye.

The study of somatic phraseologisms within one language was started by F. Vack in his candidate's thesis in 1964. He studied somatic phraseology in the modern Estonian language and clarified the meaning of the term somatism. In the work, the scientist mainly analyzed phraseological expressions with eye, head, ear and nose components. However, FBs with mouth, lip, and tongue components were not included in the scope of the analysis. In the work, somatic FBs were studied in a comparative-historical aspect, somatic phraseological expressions in the Estonian language were analyzed in comparison with sister languages (Finnish, Liv) and non-sister languages (German, English, Latvian, Russian, Swedish, French).

In one of his articles, the scientist M. V. Orèl was the first to study the composition of somatic phraseological expressions in a functional-semantic direction. V. M. Mokienko in his work "Slavyanskaya Phraseology" studied phraseological expressions in the Slavic language in a general plan and touched on somatic phraseologisms, while R. M. Weintraub devoted his article to the research of somatic phraseologisms in the Russian language.

N.V. Kunitskaya studied somatic phraseology in the modern Moldovan language in her candidate's thesis, while A.V. Prozhilov in her thesis work analyzed the linguistic expression of human somatic behavior in the example of the modern German language.

It is known that one of the names of the human body parts is included in somatic FBs, therefore, the meaning expressed by such phrases is based on the person, his behavior, character, way of life and thoughts. That is why a number of linguists studied somatic phraseology reflecting human behavior and character in their research. For example, in one of her articles, T.I. Egorova analyzed phraseologisms evaluating human behavior and character in Russian, and G.A. Bagautdinova analyzed expressive FBs showing human mental behavior.

An important part of the research of somatic phraseological expressions (hereafter SFB) is cross-sectional analysis. In particular, Yu.A. Dolgopolov is Russian, English and German, E.F. Arsenteva is English and Russian, O.A. Kononova, L.I. Kharchenkova and Yu.A. Shashkov are Spanish and Russian, O. Nazarov is Russian and Turkmen, M.N. Azimova on somatic lexemes and phraseology in Tajik and English languages, A.G. Abramova on FBs with somatic components in Russian and Chuvash languages,

Ts. V. Bibileyshvili on French and Georgian languages, R. Yu. Mugu on Russian and German languages, Choi Yun Hee in Russian and Korean, E.V. And Nikolina did a comparative study of somatisms in Turkic languages and Kazakh languages used in Siberia.

REFERENCE:

1. Апресян Ю.Д. Образ человека по данным языка: попытка системного описания/Ю.Д.Апресян//Вопросы языкознания. - 1995.-, №1. -С.29-34..
2. Урысон, Е.В. Фундаментальные способности человек и наивная «анатомия» Е.В. Урысон // Вопросы языкознания. – 1995. - №3. – С. 3-16. 2003: 20.
3. Сакаева Л.Р. Сопоставительные анализ фразеологических единиц антропоцентрической направленности (на материале русского, английского, таджикского и татарского языков): автореф. дисс.... док. филол. наук: 2009: 29.
4. Мугу Р.Ю. Полисемантизм соматической лексики (на материале русского и немецкого язык): автореф. дисс.... док. филол. наук: 2003.- 24 с.
5. Burkhanovich, A. O. (2023). CRITERION OF IDEOMATIVITY IN PHRASEOLOGICAL UNITS. JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS, 6(4), 391-393.
6. Burkhanovich, A. O. (2023). COMMON ZOONYMS AND THEIR LEXICAL-SEMANTIC CHARACTERISTICS (EXAMPLE OF UZBEKI AND GERMAN LANGUAGES). International Multidisciplinary Journal for Research & Development, 10(10).
7. Burxanovich, A. O. (2022). THE PLACE OF PHRASEOLOGICAL SYSTEM IN EUROPEAN LINGUISTICS AND EARLY PHRASEOLOGICAL STUDIES. Berlin Studies Transnational Journal of Science and Humanities, 2(1.6 Philological sciences).
8. Burxonovich, A. O. (2024). FRAZEODIDAKTIKA. IQRO INDEXING, 8(2 (2)), 38-40.